UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,577	07/27/2000	Terrance A. Tomkow	17497-16	9588
7590 02/03/2009 FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP ELLSWORTH R. ROSTON HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PHILIP B	
	FER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR ELES, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/626,577	TOMKOW, TERRANCE A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip B. Tran	2455	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 (2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 115-121,230-237 and 286-297 is/are  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 115-121,230-237 and 286-297 is/are  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	oate	

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 121 recites the limitation "the verification of the message" in lines 3-5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 115-121, 230-237, 286-287, 289, 292, 294 and 296 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Barkan** International Publication No. **WO** 98/17042.

Regarding claim 115, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of transmitting a message from a sender to a destination address through a server displaced from the destination address [see Abstract], the steps at the server of:

receiving the message from the sender [see Abstract, p.23-24, step (h). p.19, step (b)],

transmitting the message to the destination address [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d)],

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

receiving at the server an indication from the destination address that the message has been received at the destination address from the server [see Abstract, p.8, p.19, p.29, step c],

maintaining the message and additionally creating a digital signature of the message for later authentication of the message by the server [see p.9, p.31-32], and transmitting to the sender the message and the digital signature of the message before any authentication of the message for storage by the sender [see p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, mail server 3 sends proof of receipt message and encrypted message from recipient (user 2) and stores in mail box 12 belonging to sender (user 1) and p.46].

Regarding claim 116, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, the step at the server of: discarding the message and the digital signature of the message after the transmission of the message and the digital signature of the message to the sender and before any authentication of the message [see p.35, step 1].

Regarding claim 117, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including steps at the server of: receiving from the sender a copy of the message and the digital signature of the message before any authentication of the message but after the transmission of the message to the sender [see p.34, step j], generating digital fingerprints of the copy of the message from the sender [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32], comparing the digital fingerprints to the digital signature of the message, and

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

authenticating the message on the basis of the results of the comparison [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32].

Regarding claim 118, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at server of: providing at the server, at the same time an attachment including an identity of the sender and an identity and an address of the server and an identity and an destination address of the recipient [see p.23, 30], maintaining an attachment and additionally providing a digital signature of the attachment (generating a digital signature of the attachment), and transmitting to the sender the attachment and the digital signature of the attachment, at the same time as the transmission of the message, and the digital signature of the message, to the sender [see p.23, 29-30, 34].

Regarding claim 119, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the server of: receiving an attachment from the destination address [see Abstract, p.8, p.19, p.29, step c], maintaining the attachment and additionally providing a digital signature of the attachment (providing at the server a digital signature of the attachment), and transmitting to the sender the attachment and the digital signature of the attachment for storage by the sender [see p.23, 29-30, 34].

Regarding claim 120, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the server of: receiving from the sender copies of the message and the attachment and the digital signature of the message and the attachment, generating

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

digital fingerprints of the message and the attachment, and comparing the digital fingerprints of the message to the digital signature of the message and comparing the digital fingerprints of the attachment to the digital signature of the attachment to authenticate the message and the attachment [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

Regarding claim 121, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the server of: receiving the message and the verification (digital signature) of the message at the server from the sender, and authenticating the message at the server on the basis of the message and the verification (digital signature) received by the server from the sender [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30].

Regarding claim 230, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of authenticating a message provided by a sender and transmitted to a destination server by a second server displaced from the sender and the destination server, the steps at the second server of: creating an electronic attachment at the second server including the identity and address of the sender and the identity and address of the second server, and transmitting the electronic attachment from the second server to the sender after the transmission of the message from the second server to the destination server but before any authentication of the message by the second server [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34].

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

Regarding claim 231, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the electronic attachment transmitted from the second server to the sender includes the address and the identity of intermediate stations receiving the electronic attachment in the transmission of the electronic message from the second server to the destination server [see p.44, step e].

Regarding claim 232, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: providing a verification (digital signature) of the attachment at the second server, and transmitting the verification (digital signature) from the second server to the sender at the time of transmitting the attachment from the second server to the sender [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34].

Regarding claim 233, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: providing a digital signature of the attachment at the second server, and transmitting the digital signature from the second server to the sender at the time of transmitting the attachment from the second server to the sender [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34].

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

Regarding claim 234, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: receiving the electronic attachment and the verification (digital signature) at the second server from the sender [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34], and authenticating the attachment at the second server on the basis of the electronic attachment and the verification (digital signature) of the electronic attachment received by the second server from the sender [see p.23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32].

Regarding claim 235, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: authenticating the electronic attachment at the second server on the basis of the electronic attachment, and the digital signature received by the second server from the sender [see p.23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32].

Regarding claim 236, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: receiving at the second server the attachment, and the verification (digital signature) of the attachment from the sender [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34], providing at the second server digital fingerprints of the attachment, and comparing the digital fingerprints and the verification of the attachment to authenticate the attachment [see p.23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32].

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

Regarding claim 237, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the second server of: receiving the attachment and the digital signature at the second server from the sender [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d), p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, p.34], providing at the second server digital fingerprints of the attachment, and comparing the digital fingerprints to the digital signature of the attachment to authenticate the attachment [see p.23-24, steps j-h, p.31-p.32].

Regarding claim 286, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps at the server of: receiving from the sender a copy of the message and the digital signature of the message after the transmission of the message to the destination address but before any authentication of the message [see P. 34, step j], and processing the message and the digital signature of the message to determine the authentication of the message [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p. 31-p32].

Regarding claim 287, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps of in the course of transmitting the message from the server to the destination address, providing an exchange of data between the server and the destination address relating to the message [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d) and p.23-24, step (h). p.19, step (b)], recording at the server at least a portion of the data exchanged between the server and the destination address relating to the message, and transmitting the recorded data to a storage means for subsequent

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

production as proof of delivery of the message to the destination address [see Abstract, p.8, p.19, p.29, step c and p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, mail server 3 sends proof of receipt message and encrypted message from recipient (user 2) and stores in mail box 12 belonging to sender (user 1)].

Regarding claim 289, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the exchange of data between the server and the destination address includes the identification of the server and the destination address and the identification of the message and an acknowledgement of the receipt of the message by the destination address [see p. 23, 29-30, 34].

Regarding claim 292, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps of providing a dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server during the transmission of the message from the second server to the destination server, through stages between the second server and the destination server, to establish subsequently that the destination server has received the message, and storing at least a portion of the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server for subsequent proof of delivery of the message by the second server to the destination server [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

Regarding claim 294, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server is

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

included in the electronic attachment [see Abstract, p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

Regarding claim 296, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the steps of providing a dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server during the transmission of the message between the second server and the destination server through stages between the second server and the destination server, and storing at least a portion of the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server for subsequent proof of delivery of the message by the second server to the destination server [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 288, 290-291, 293, 295 and 297 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Barkan** International Publication No. **WO 98/17042**, in view of **Zabetian** U.S. Patent No. **6,327,656**.

Regarding claim 288, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed above. However, **Barkan** does not explicitly teach the data exchanged between the server and the destination address may be via a selected one of SMTP and ESMTP protocols.

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

Zabetian teaches the electronic documents send between clients and servers using conventional protocols such as SMTP, FTP, HTTP, and other network protocol could be used to transmit electronic documents (col. 4 lines 25-55, col. 6 lines 21-37, col. 14 lines 53-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Barkan and Zabetian to include the step of using a protocol selected from a group of network conventional protocols because it would have an efficient communications system that has a capability for users to send and attach various kinds of files to electronic mail (including electronic document certification, verification, digital signature).

Regarding claim 290, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed above. In addition, **Barkan** further teaches the data exchanged between the server and the destination address is used to establish that the message has been received at the destination address [see p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, mail server 3 sends proof of receipt message and encrypted message from recipient (user 2) and stores in mail box 12 belonging to sender (user 1)].

Regarding claim 291, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed above. In addition, **Barkan** further teaches the authentication is provided as follows: generating at the server a digital fingerprint of the message received by the server from the sender, and comparing the digital fingerprints generated at the server to the digital signature of the message, and providing an electronic attachment transmitted between the second

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

server and the destination server, and providing a dialog (communication) between the server and the destination address relating to the message during the transmission of the message from the server to the destination address so as to establish subsequently that the destination address has received the message transmitting the electronic attachment from the second server to the sender [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

However, **Barkan** does not explicitly teach the data exchanged (dialog) between the server and the destination address may be via a selected one of SMTP and ESMTP protocols. **Zabetian** teaches the electronic documents send between clients and servers using conventional protocols such as SMTP, FTP, HTTP, and other network protocol could be used to transmit electronic documents (col. 4 lines 25-55, col. 6 lines 21-37, col. 14 lines 53-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Barkan and Zabetian** to include the step of using a protocol selected from a group of network conventional protocols because it would have an efficient communications system that has a capability for users to send and attach various kinds of files to electronic mail (including electronic document certification, verification, digital signature).

Regarding claim 293, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server includes

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

matter relating to the identities of the second server and the destination server and relating to the message [see p.23, 29-30, 34].

Regarding claim 295, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed, including the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server includes an acknowledgement by the destination server that it has received the message [see Abstract, p.8, p.19, p29, step c and p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph].

Regarding claim 297, **Barkan** teaches the invention as claimed above. In addition, **Barkan** further teaches providing a dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server relating to the message during the transmission of the message between the second server and the destination server, storing at least a portion of the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server for subsequent proof of the delivery of the message by the second server to the destination server, wherein the dialog (communication) between the second server and the destination server includes matter relating to the identities of the second server and the destination server and relating to the message and wherein the dialog between the second server and the destination server is included in the electronic attachment [see p. 23-24, steps j-h, p.29-30, 31-32, 34].

However, **Barkan** does not explicitly teach the data exchanged (dialog) between the second server and the destination server being provided via a selected one of SMTP and ESMTP protocols. **Zabetian** teaches the electronic documents send

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

between clients and servers using conventional protocols such as SMTP, FTP, HTTP, and other network protocol could be used to transmit electronic documents (col. 4 lines 25-55, col. 6 lines 21-37, col. 14 lines 53-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Barkan and Zabetian** to include the step of using a protocol selected from a group of network conventional protocols because it would have an efficient communications system that has a capability for users to send and attach various kinds of files to electronic mail (including electronic document certification, verification, digital signature).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Based on the broadest reasonable interpretation, Barkan still teaches the invention as claimed, including a method and system of transmitting a message from a sender to a destination address through a server displaced from the destination address and notification of receiving a message [see Fig. 1 and Abstract], with the functional steps at the server consisting of receiving the message from the sender [see Abstract, p.23-24, step (h). p.19, step (b)], transmitting the message to the destination address [see Abstract, p.12, steps (a, b, c), p.23-24, step (h), p.30, step (d)], receiving at the server an indication from the destination address that the message has been received at the destination address from the server [see Abstract, p.8, p.19, p.29, step (c)],

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

maintaining the message and additionally creating a digital signature of the message for later authentication of the message by the server [see p.9, p.31-32], and transmitting to the sender the message and the digital signature of the message before any authentication of the message for storage by the sender [see p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph and Abstract, mail server 3 sends proof of receipt message and encrypted message from recipient (user 2) and stores in mail box 12 belonging to sender (user 1) and p.46].

The examiner requests applicant to provide the exact paragraph in the specification that supports the amendment "transmitting to the sender the message and the digital signature of the message before any authentication of the message for storage by the sender."

Based on the broadest reasonable interpretation, Barkan still teaches the invention as claimed, including transmitting to the sender the message and the digital signature of the message before any authentication of the message for storage by the sender [see p.33, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph and Abstract, mail server 3 sends proof of receipt message and encrypted message from recipient (user 2) and stores in mail box 12 belonging to sender (user 1) and p.46].

In addition, there is an issue of consistency in claims. Some claims have limitation of "digital signature of the message" and the others have limitation of "verification of the message." Clarification is needed.

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

As a result, cited prior art does disclose a system and method as broadly claimed by the applicant. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter recited in independent claims. Dependent claims are also rejected at least by virtue of dependency on independent claims and by other reasons as shown above. Accordingly, claims 115-121, 230-237 and 286-297 are still respectfully rejected.

# Conclusion

- 8. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

Art Unit: 2455 Paper Dated 20090103

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip B Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455 Jan 31, 2009